61

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:
(In re Application of:

) Art Unit: 1765

pln. No. : 09/771,556

) Ex: R. M. Kunemund

Filed

For

: January 30, 2001

. surrum y 50, 2001

: SINGLE CRYSTAL SIC AND A METHOD

OF GROWING THE SAME

REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

RECEIVED
DEC 0 8 2003
TC 1700

AFT 1768

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

It is requested that the time for filing the following documents, now set to expire on Nov. 1, 2003, be extended for the time period indicated below, to expire on Jan. 1, 2004.

- (X) Response to Office Action
- () Brief on Appeal or Reply Brief
- () A Verified Statement Under 37 CFR 1.9 and 1.27
 - () is enclosed
 - () is of record in this application

	LARGE ENTITY	SMALL ENTITY	MOUNT
() One Month (X) Two Months () Three Months () Four Months	\$ 110.00 \$ 420.00 \$ 950.00 \$1,480.00	\$ 55.00 \$210.00 \$475.00 \$740.00	\$ 420.00
		TOTAL FEE	\$420.00

- (X) A check in the amount of \$\frac{420.00}{200}\$ is attached. (Check No. 18457)
- () Please charge \$___ to Deposit Account No. 10-1213.
- (X) Please charge any additional processing fees required under 37 CFR 1.17 to Deposit Account No. <u>10-1213</u>. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Date: December 1, 2003

Respectfully submitted,

12/04/2003 RMEBRAHT 00000110 09771556

01 FC:1252

420.00 OP

Felix J. D'Ambrosi Reg. No. 25,721

log. 110. 23,721